



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<u>_____</u>

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,805	08/22/2001	Tatuya Ninomiya	500.33021CX5	8027
20457 7:	590 09/22/2004		EXAM	INER
ANTONELLI	I, TERRY, STOUT & KI	PATEL, H	PATEL, HETUL B	
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	VA 22209-9889		2186	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

D

		466
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/933,805	NINOMIYA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hetul Patel	2186
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	ugust 2004.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle,_1935 C.D. 11	, 453. O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)	wn from consideration. 55 is/are rejected.	ation.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition of the specific and provided as a	cepted or b) objected to by to drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applority documents have been recau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No beived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date I.S. Patent and Trademark Office		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. This action is responsive to communication filed on August 16, 2004. This amendment has been entered and carefully considered. Claim 40 is cancelled and claims 43-55 are newly added. Claims 21, 23-24, 26-27, 29-32, 34-39 and 41-55 are presented for examination.
- 2. IDS filed on April 20, 2004 has been considered.
- 3. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph to claims 21 and 24 is withdrawn due to the Amendment filed on August 16, 2004.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on March 31, 2004 have been fully considered but deemed to be moot in view of new ground rule rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 21, 23-24, 26-27, 29-32, 37-40, 46-47 and 52-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashemi et al. (USPN: 5,337,414), hereinafter Hashemi in view of Nakamura et al. (USPN: 5,388,013) hereinafter, Nakamura.

Art Unit: 2186

As per claims 21, 24 and 40, Hashemi teaches the invention as claimed, including a storage system comprising:

- a plurality of host adaptors coupled to at least one host device, which from interfaces for the host device (e.g. see figure 1, elements 4a-d and 8c1 and 8c2, column 3, lines 57-60 and 66-68; column 4, lines 1, 11-15 and column 9, lines 4-9);
- a plurality of storage devices for storing therein data received from the host device (e.g. see column 9, lines 30-34);
- a plurality of disk adaptors each coupled to one of said storage devices, which form interfaces for said storage devices (e.g. see figure 1, elements 8d1 and 8d2, column 4, lines 27-43; column 9, line 62 and column 10, lines 24-30);
- a cache (a plurality of caches) for temporarily storing therein data transferred
 between said host adaptors and said disk adaptors (e.g. see figure 1a, elements
 24c1 or 24c2 or 24d1 or 24d2);
- two buses coupled to said host adaptors, said disk adaptors, and said cache, and which operate as a pair of buses for transferring data among said host adaptors, said disk adaptors, and said cache, wherein each bus in said two buses is adapted to transfer different data (e.g. see figure 1a, elements 6a-b), and a memory for storing information indicating status which of said two buses is available for use due to a failure in the other of said two buses (e.g. see column 9, lines 28-41), and

Art Unit: 2186

 wherein upon failure one of said two buses is used based on said status information stored in said memory (e.g. see the abstract and Col. 2, lines 2-5;
 Col. 3, lines 52-56).

Hashemi teaches the storage system as described above. However, Hashemi does not teach the further limitation of each of said host adaptors includes a format converter to convert data from CKD format to the FBA format and storing the FBA format data in the cache memory. Nakamura, on the other hand, teaches a host adapter (the magnetic disk controller, 5 in Fig. 1) includes a data storage format converter that is used to convert data of a count key data (CKD) format sent from the host device (the host computer, 1 in Fig. 1) into data of a fixed block architecture (FBA) format and sending the converted data of the FBA format to said cache memory (6 in Fig. 1) (e.g. see Col. 11, lines 12-17 and Fig. 1). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the current invention was made to employ the format converter as taught by Nakamura in the storage system of Hashemi so the data stored in a CKD format (variable length record format adopted in a magnetic disc system of a general-purpose computer) sent from the host device is converted into data of a FBA format (fixed length format which is adopted in a commercially available miniature type magnetic disc) suitable for the storage devices. By doing so, it would provide improved compatibility by allowing Hashemi's storage system (a) to serve broader range of applications, (b) to be compatible with wide variety of storage devices with different formats (e.g. Magnetic disks, optical disks, flash memory etc.). Therefore, it is being advantageous.

Art Unit: 2186

As for claims 23 and 26, Hashemi discloses the claimed invention as described above and furthermore, Hashemi teaches that said memory can be referred to by an external processor (e.g. see column 9, line 1 et seq.).

As per claims 27, 37 and 51, Hashemi teaches the invention as claimed, including a storage system comprising:

- a plurality of host adaptors coupled to at least one host device, which from interfaces for the host device (e.g. see figure 1, elements 4a-d and 8c1 and 8c2, column 3, lines 57-60 and 66-68; column 4, lines 1, 11-15 and column 9, lines 4-9);
- a plurality of storage devices for storing therein data transferred from the host device (e.g. see column 9, lines 30-34);
- a plurality of disk adaptors coupled to said storage devices, which form interfaces for said storage devices (e.g. see figure 1, elements 8d1 and 8d2, column 4, lines 27-43; column 9, line 62 and column 10, lines 24-30);
- at least one cache memory unit (a plurality of caches) for temporarily storing therein data transferred between said host adaptors and said disk adaptors (e.g. see figure 1a, elements 24c1 or 24c2 or 24d1 or 24d2); and
- at least one path, coupled to said host adaptors, said disk adaptors, and said at least one cache memory unit, which transfers data among said host adaptors, said disk adaptors, and said at least one cache memory unit (e.g. see column 9, lines 28-41 and Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2186

Hashemi teaches the storage system as described above. However, Hashemi does not teach the further limitation of each of said host adaptors includes a format converter to convert data from CKD format to the FBA format and storing the FBA format data in the cache memory. Nakamura, on the other hand, teaches a host adapter (the magnetic disk controller, 5 in Fig. 1) includes a data storage format converter that is used to convert data of a count key data (CKD) format sent from the host device (the host computer, 1 in Fig. 1) into data of a fixed block architecture (FBA) format and sending the converted data of the FBA format to said cache memory (6 in Fig. 1) (e.g. see Col. 11, lines 12-17 and Fig. 1). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the current invention was made to employ the format converter as taught by Nakamura in the storage system of Hashemi so the data stored in a CKD format (variable length record format adopted in a magnetic disc system of a general-purpose computer) sent from the host device is converted into data of a FBA format (fixed length format which is adopted in a commercially available miniature type magnetic disc) suitable for the storage devices. By doing so, it would provide improved compatibility by allowing Hashemi's storage system (a) to serve broader range of applications, (b) to be compatible with wide variety of storage devices with different formats (e.g. Magnetic disks, optical disks, flash memory etc.). Therefore, it is being advantageous.

As per claim 29, Hashemi discloses the claimed invention as described above and furthermore, Hashemi teaches the storage system further comprising a shared memory unit (CIM/DIM in Figs. 1A and 1B) which stores therein control information for

Art Unit: 2186

controlling the host adaptors, the disk adaptors and said at least one cache memory unit. (e.g. see Col. 3, lines 24-33 and Figs. 1A and 1B).

As per claim 30, Hashemi discloses the claimed invention as described above and furthermore, Hashemi teaches the storage system wherein said at least one cache memory unit (buffers 24c1-2 and 2d1-2 in Fig. 1A) has a plurality of cache memories arranged in a duplexed form, and the shared memory unit (CIM/DIM) has a plurality of shared memories arranged in a duplexed form (e.g. see Fig. 1A).

As per claims 31-32, 38-39, 46-47 and 52-53, Hashemi discloses the claimed invention as described above and furthermore, Hashemi teaches the storage system wherein said at least one path (Futurebuses 6a and 6b in Fig. 1A) is a duplexed common bus, which includes:

- a control information bus coupled to the host adaptors and the disk
 adaptors, which transfers control information, and
- a data transfer bus, coupled to the host adaptors, the disk adaptors and the at least one cache memory unit, which transfers data among the host adaptors, the disk adaptors and the at least one cache memory unit (e.g. see Fig. 1A and Col. 3, lines 50-65).
- 6. Claims 34-35, 41-42, 48-49 and 54-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashemi in view Nakamura, further in view of Cheney et al. (USPN: 5,285,456), hereinafter, Cheney.

Art Unit: 2186

As per claims 34, 41, 48 and 54, the combination of Hashemi and Nakamura disclose the claimed invention as described above wherein the format converter converts data of CKD into data of FBA format. However, Hashemi and Nakamura fail to teach that the format converter adds a longitudinal redundancy check (LRC) code to the data of the FBA format. Cheney, on the other hand, teaches that by adding the LRC code to the data, integrity of the control information can be verified (e.g. see Col. 4, lines 9-14). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the current invention was made to modify the storage system of Hashemi by adding the CRC code to the data as taught by Cheney. In doing so, it would allow the integrity of the information data and the control information to be verified when they are transferred within the system; therefore, enhancing the system's reliability.

As per claims 35, 42, 49 and 55, the combination of Hashemi and Nakamura disclose the claimed invention as described above. However, Hashemi and Nakamura fail to teach that the host adaptors receive the physical address information in the CKD format with the cyclic redundancy check (CRC) code on a storage space of the storage device. Cheney, on the other hand, teaches that by adding the CRC code to the data, the errors generated during transmitting the data from the host devices to the storage devices can be detected (e.g. see Col. 2, lines 40-62). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the current invention was made to modify the storage system of Hashemi by adding the CRC code to the data as taught by Cheney. In doing so, it would allow the integrity of the information data and the control

Art Unit: 2186

information to be verified when they are transferred within the system; therefore, enhancing the system's reliability.

7. Claims 36 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashemi in view Nakamura, further in view of Dixon et al. (USPN: 4,637,024), hereinafter, Dixon.

As per claims 36 and 50, the combination of Hashemi and Nakamura disclose the claimed invention as described above. However, Hashemi and Nakamura, fail to teach that the format converter adds the ECC and CRC code to the data before writing it to the storage devices. Dixon, on the other hand, teaches that by using the CRC code, the data can be checked/verified for any errors and if any error found in the data, using the ECC, that error can be fixed (e.g. see Col. 3, lines 24-39). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the current invention was made to employ the step of adding the ECC and CRC code to the data before storing it to the storage devices as taught by Dixon in the system taught by Hashemi and Nakamura. In doing so, the data get checked and corrected before it get stored in the storage device.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hetul Patel whose telephone number is (703) 305-6219. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3821. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HBP HBP MM

MATTHEY KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100